

YOUR MONEY

MAY 29, 2008



Kim Inglis, BCom

Controlling Investment Fees

Q. I recently reviewed my portfolio with my financial advisor and I'm a bit confused. Everything seems to going along okay with my investments, but the returns don't seem to be matching up with the so-called performance. I have a feeling that it might be the result of fees. Is there something I'm missing here?

A. Fees absolutely have an impact on the performance of your portfolio and you are quite right to think about them. If they are too high they erode your returns. Factor in inflation and the results can be startling.

If you're like most people, you probably cringe when your advisor mentions fees or commissions, but investment counseling is like any other professional service – there are fees. However, with investing there are options in determining the size of the fees and they can be adjusted to suit your needs. Let's look at the two typical set-ups; commission and fee-based accounts.

In a commission-based account you are charged a fee for every transaction. Ranging from 0.25% to 3%, fees are dependent on the type of asset class, the size of transaction, and the liquidity of the product.

In a fee-based account you are charged a single fee. Depending on the size of your portfolio, the fee will range from 1% to 2.75%. The fee provides a set number of transactions per year and is not dependent on any factor other than portfolio size.

In my opinion, the decision whether to use a commission or fee-based approach depends on the individual investor. Some of my clients are better served by a commission structure, some suit a fee-based arrangement, while others fall somewhere between.

Determining the best set-up requires thinking because it depends on a number of considerations. If you have a conservative portfolio and take a buy and hold approach with your investing, you will likely find that a commission structure is your most cost efficient option. Conversely, if you are an active trader with a lot of portfolio turnover, a fee-based account would likely be the superior choice.

Let's pretend you have a \$600,000 portfolio and are a conservative investor who doesn't trade very often. If you only make six trades a year with a total value of \$200,000 at an average commission of 2%, your fees would only be \$4,000. However, if you were in a feebased account, paying 1.5% a year on the total value of your portfolio, your annual fees would be \$9,000. *You would be overpaying by \$5,000*.

Now let's instead pretend that you are an active trader averaging thirty trades a year. Instead of paying 1.5% a year on the total value of your portfolio, you are in a commission-based account paying per transaction. Assuming all thirty trades amounted to the total value of your \$600,000 portfolio and are subject to the same 2% commission, the fees would be \$12,000. In this case, you would be overpaying by \$3,000.

Careful and appropriate thinking is necessary to determine which set-up is best for you. If your advisor hasn't set you up properly, the fees can wreak havoc on your bottom line. If you haven't discussed fees with your advisor, I urge you to do so – and make sure you understand them fully. When fees are too high, the only person who loses out is you.

Kim Inglis is an Investment Advisor. The views in this column are solely those of the author. www.kiminglis.ca